

EXHIBIT J

ORDER: Eastern District of New York, Findley v. Trustees of the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust, Nov. 7, 2001

Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust for the period ending September 30, 2001. The court notes that there is a continuing flood in the number of claims and that the amount paid pro rata on claims has been reduced from 10 percent to 5 percent of the original value. The court also

finds evidence of the continuing media and other campaigns encouraging a flood of new claims.

The combination of events, together with the increasing number of bankruptcy claims by asbestos related entities, suggests that there may be a reallocation of available funds.

Accordingly, favoring those who are less needy over those with more pressing asbestos related injuries.

In several domestic papers, and when they appear in Courtroom 15 of the Southern

Department of the Eastern District of New York on December 11, 2001, at 10:00 A.M. to

consider whether the injuries involved and alleged circumstances warrant or justify

modification of any relevant prior judgments.

SO ORDERED

[Signature]
 Hon. J. M. Findley
 Senior District Judge, E.D.N.Y.
 Southern District of New York

Dated November 7, 2001
 Brooklyn, N.Y.
 New York, N.Y.

TRUSTEES OF THE MANVILLE PERSONAL
 INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST, and individually
 and jointly in their capacities as Trustees.

Defendants:

DECEES

This court has reviewed and reviewed the Financial Statements and Report of the

The courts have received and reviewed the Financial Statements and Report of the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust for the period ending September 30, 2001. The courts note that there is a continuing rise in the number of claims and that the amount paid [sic] pro rata on claims has been reduced from 10 percent to 5 percent of the original value. The courts take judicial notice of the continuing media and other campaigns encouraging a flood of new claims.

This combination of events, together with the increasing number of bankruptcy filings by asbestos related entities, suggests that there may be a misallocation of available funds, inequitably favoring those who are less needy over those with more pressing asbestos related injuries.

